

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title :	Rezoning of Lot 10 DP748099, 10 Byron Bay Road, Bangalow from RE2 Private Recreation to SP3 Tourist and R2 Low Density Residential and reclassify Lot 5 DP 609656 from Community to Operational Land.			
Proposal Summary :	RE2 Private Recrea purpose of tourist a 609656 from Comm apply a floor space	The Planning Proposal aims to rezone Lot 10 DP 748099, 10 Byron Bay Road, Bangalow from RE2 Private Recreation to part SP3 Tourist and part R2 Low Density Residential for the purpose of tourist accommodation and low density residential use and to reclassify Lot 5 DP 609656 from Community to Operational Land (no Interests changed). The proposal will also apply a floor space ratio of 0.5 and a minimum lots size of 1000m2 to the R2 zone land and 2000m2 to SP3 zone land.		
PP Number ;	PP_2014_BYRON_0	07_00	Dop File No :	14/15142
Proposal Details				
Date Planning Proposal Received :	11-Dec-2014		LGA covered :	Byron
Region :	Northern		RPA :	Byron Shire Council
State Electorate :	BALLINA		Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning			
Location Details				
Street : 10	Byron Bay Road			
Suburb :		City :	Bangalow	Postcode :
Land Parcei : Lo	t 10 DP 748099			
Street :				
Suburb :		City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lo	t 5 DP 609656			

DoP Planning Officer Contact Details

Contact Name :	Gina Davis
Contact Number :	6701968700
Contact Email :	gina.davis@planning.nsw.gov.au

RPA Contact Details

Contact Name :	Joe Davidson
Contact Number :	0266267021
Contact Email :	joe.davidson@byron.nsw.gov.au

DoP Project Manager Contact Details

Contact Name :

Contact Number :

Contact Email :

Land Release Data

Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Far North Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.10	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	4
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Department of Planning and Environment's Code of Practice in relation to communications and meetings with lobbyists has been complied with to the best of the Region's knowledge. The Northern Region has not met with any lobbyists in relation to this proposal, nor has the Northern Region been advised of any meeting between other Departmental Officers and lobbyists concerning the proposal.		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists?	No		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	Whilst the Planning Proposal does not address the reclassification of Lot 5 DP 609656 from Community to Operational, Council confirmed in its cover letter that it wishes to progress the reclassification of this lot with the Planning Proposal.		
	The reclassification of this lot is required as Council's Plan of Management does not authorise the use of the land for access purposes. The proposed development for the site		

above lot and this was received on 4 and 11 December 2014.

once rezoned will require operational access from Lot 5. Council has also advised that no interests will be changed. The Planning Proposal was initially submitted to the Department on 4 September however it contained errors and discrepancies in land zoning, lot size, access and the classification of Lot 5 DP 609656 as well as potential noise and contamination issues. A letter was sent to Council on 12 September 2014 with a response being received on 20 November. Additional information was once again required in regards to the reclassification of the

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment : The objectives and intended outcomes of the Planning Proposal are adequately expressed for the proposed amendment to Byron LEP 2014.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The Planning Proposal provides a clear explanation of the intended provisions to achieve the objectives and intended outcomes.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

* May need the Director General's agreement

3.1 Residential Zones 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes
Comment : Whilst the amended LEP Map sheets have not been prepared at this stage, the Planning
Proposal includes a list of the LEP map sheets that will require amending. The Planning
Proposal also includes an identification map of the subject land. The maps provided are
adequate to enable consideration of a Gateway determination for this matter.
Mapping prepared in accordance with the Department's standard technical requirements

for LEP maps is recommended for the public exhibition process.

Community consulta	ation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consulta	ation been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	Council has proposed a 28 day exhibition period. Whilst the proposal is considered to be low impact, the proposed development will necessitate the reclassification of adjoining Lot 5 DP 609656 for access purposes to the subject site.		
	The reclassification does not form part of the Planning Proposal at this stage however discussions with Council have seen staff agree to the reclassification of this land (verbal consultation). This will require a public hearing which will be conducted separately. The notification period is considered adequate.		
Additional Director C	General's requirements		
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Overall adequacy of	the proposal		
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes		
If No, comment	The Planning Proposal and accompanying documentation are considered to satisfy the adequacy criteria by: 1. Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes;		
	 Providing appropriate objectives and intended outcomes; Providing a suitable explanation of the provisions proposed by the LEP to achieve the outcomes; 		
	3. Providing an adequate justification for the proposal;		
	4. Outlining a proposed community consultation program; and 5. Providing a project time line.		
	Council is seeking an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations. As the Planning Proposal deals with matters of only local significance, it is considered appropriate that an authorisation to exercise its plan making delegations be issued to Council.		
	The RPA has provided a project time line however due to the need for additional information, the timeline is now out of date and will need to be amended prior to exhibition. Amendments to the timeline will also need to be made to include a number of additional tasks required for the reclassification of land. Despite this, Council originally proposed a 9 month timeframe for completion of the Planning Proposal and this is considered adequate.		
Proposal Assessment			
Principal LEP:			
Due Date :			
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Byron LEP 2014 is a Standard Instrument LEP made in May 2014. It came into force on 21 July 2014.		
Assessment Criteria			
Need for planning proposal :	The eastern portion of the subject land forms an "L' shaped lot, currently zoned RE2 and wraps around a residential block containing a dual occupancy. It is currently vacant however was formerly used as netball courts. It is proposed to rezone the northern part of this eastern portion (which fronts the existing tennis courts) from RE2 to SP3 and apply an FSR of 0.5 and an MLS of 2000m2. The purpose of this is to allow for a two story motel		

	development to be con use to the nearby Ban	nsidered by Council. The motel is pro galow Bowling Club.	pposed to be complementary in
	be rezoned from RE21	he eastern portion of the subject land to R2 Low Density Residential to allow A FSR of 0.5 will be applied to this po	w Council to consider a town
	changed) is required a on Lot 10 DP 748099. as it has not been prej	Lot 5 DP 609656 from Community to so that vehicular access can be gaine The Planning Proposal will need to b pared in accordance with Practice No dic land through a local environments	d to the proposed development e amended prior to exhibition te PN09-003 Classification and
	spill, noise impacts an	ment for the site will require Council Id stormwater and traffic managemen tage and should not impede on the Is Department.	nt. Such issues can however be
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The Planning Proposal is not considered to be inconsistent with the Byron Bay Community Strategic Plan 2022, the Bangalow Settlement Strategy 2003, the Byron Shire DCP 2010 as it relates to Bangalow nor the Far North Coast Regional Strategy.		
	SEPP's The proposal is not in	consistent with any relevant State En	vironmental Planning Policy.
		e and reclassify the subject lot is con tions except in relation to:	isidered to be consistent with
	3.1 Residential Zones.		
	proposed residential z minor significance as locality, is consistent	as the Planning Proposal will affect I one. Any inconsistency with this Dire the planning proposal will contribute with residential development within t ooundary and therefore will not contri	action is considered to be of to housing choice within the he vicinity and is located within
Environmental social economic impacts :	The planning proposal will not have any direct adverse impact on critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. Similarly the planning proposal will not have any direct adverse effects on the natural built or socio-economic environment. The subject land has not been mapped as being either bushfire prone or subject to flooding.		
Assessment Proces	35		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority			

is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? No				
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons	:			
Identify any internal cons	ultations, if required	1:		
No internal consultation	1 required			
Is the provision and fund	ing of state infrastru	cture relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
cuments				
Document File Name		DocumentType Na	ame Is Public	
Revised Planning Propo Council cover letter_25 Attachment 4_complete	112014.pdf	.pdf Proposal Proposal Coverin Proposal	Yes g Letter Yes Yes	
Inning Team Recomm	nendation			
			ditions	
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal support	ed at this stage : Recommended with Con	ultions	
Preparation of the plannin S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Z 5.1 Implementat		uiuuna	
	3.1 Residential Z 5.1 Implementat	ones on of Regional Strategies I Referral Requirements	uiuuna	
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential Z 5.1 Implementati 6.1 Approval and It is recommend	ones on of Regional Strategies I Referral Requirements	GIUGHS	
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential 2 5.1 Implementati 6.1 Approval and It is recommend 1. The Planning	ones on of Regional Strategies I Referral Requirements ed that:		
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential 2 5.1 Implementati 6.1 Approval and It is recommend 1. The Planning 2. That the Plann - to include the r - so that the proj Council due to a - so that mappin	ones on of Regional Strategies I Referral Requirements ed that: Proposal be supported;	ion: ommunity to operational; steps to be completed by ing issued; and	
S.117 directions:	3.1 Residential 2 5.1 Implementati 6.1 Approval and It is recommend 1. The Planning 2. That the Plann - to include the r - so that the proj Council due to a - so that mappin requirements for	iones on of Regional Strategies I Referral Requirements ed that: Proposal be supported; ling Proposal be amended prior to exhibit eclassification of Lot 5 DP 609656 from co ect time line addresses all the additional a n authorisation to exercise delegation bei g prepared in accordance with the Depart	ion: ommunity to operational; steps to be completed by ing issued; and	
S.117 directions:	 3.1 Residential Z 5.1 Implementation 6.1 Approvaliance 1 The Planning 2. That the Planning 2. That the Planning 4. The Planning 4. That a public lisection 29 of the 	iones on of Regional Strategies I Referral Requirements ed that: Proposal be supported; ling Proposal be amended prior to exhibit eclassification of Lot 5 DP 609656 from co ect time line addresses all the additional a n authorisation to exercise delegation bei g prepared in accordance with the Depart LEP maps is included;	ion: ommunity to operational; steps to be completed by ing issued; and ment's standard technical ect land in accordance with 57 of the Environmental	
S.117 directions:	 3.1 Residential Z 5.1 Implementation 6.1 Approvaliance 1 Approvaliance 1 The Planning 2. That the Planning 2. That the Planning 4. That a public list of the planning and Asperiod; 	iones on of Regional Strategies I Referral Requirements ed that: Proposal be supported; ling Proposal be amended prior to exhibit eclassification of Lot 5 DP 609656 from co ect time line addresses all the additional e in authorisation to exercise delegation bei g prepared in accordance with the Departe LEP maps is included; Proposal be exhibited for 28 days; mearing on the reclassification of the subju- Local Government Act 1993 and section	ion: ommunity to operational; steps to be completed by ing issued; and ment's standard technical ect land in accordance with 57 of the Environmental on of the public exhibition	

	6. That an authorisation to exercise delegation be issued to Council.		
Supporting Reasons ;	The Planning Proposal will undertake rezoning and reclassification of land in the village of Bangalow. This will in turn allow Council to consider tourist related development and to provide a greater choice of housing type for the community. It is considered that the impacts of this Planning Proposal will be positive both for both Bangalow and the wider Byron community.		
Signature:	J.Z		
Printed Name:	JIM CLARK Date: 16 December 2014		